

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
SAN ANTONIO DIVISION**

Encoditech LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

**Citizen Watch Company of America,
Inc.**

Defendant.

Case No. 5:18-cv-1335

Patent Case

Jury Trial Demanded

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiff, (“Encoditech”), through its attorney, Isaac Rabicoff, complains of Citizen Watch Company of America, Inc. (“Citizen”) and alleges the following:

PARTIES

1. Plaintiff Encoditech LLC is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Texas that maintains its principal place of business at 3415 Custer Road, Suite 120-A, Plano, Texas, 75023.

2. Defendant Citizen Watch Company of America, Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of California that maintains its principal place of business at 1120 Avenue of the Americas, 10th Floor, New York, NY 10036.

JURISDICTION

3. This is an action for patent infringement arises under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code.

4. This Court has exclusive subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

5. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Citizen because it has engaged in systematic and continuous business activities in the Western District of Texas. Specifically, Citizen has a store located at Premium Outlets 3939, I-35, San Marcos, TX 78666. As described below, Citizen has committed acts of patent infringement giving rise to this action within this District.

VENUE

6. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b) because Citizen has committed acts of patent infringement in this District and has a store located at Premium Outlets 3939, I-35, San Marcos, TX 78666. In addition, Encoditech has suffered harm in this District.

PATENT-IN-SUIT

7. Encoditech is the assignee of all right, title and interest in United States Patent No. 6,321,095 (the “’095 Patent”) including all rights to enforce and prosecute actions for infringement and to collect damages for all relevant times against infringers of the Patent-in-Suit. Accordingly, Encoditech possesses the exclusive right and standing to prosecute the present action for infringement of the Patent-in-Suit by Citizen.

The ’095 Patent

8. On November 20, 2001, the United States Patent and Trademark Office issued the ’095 Patent. The ’095 Patent is titled “Wireless Communications Approach.” The application leading to the ’095 Patent was filed on March 26, 1999. A true and correct copy of the ’095 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

9. A certificate of correction for the ’095 Patent was filed on May 23, 2017. A true and correct copy of the certificate of correction is attached hereto as Exhibit B.

10. The '095 Patent is valid and enforceable.

11. The invention claimed in the '095 Patent relates to a mobile station that provides direct, wireless communications with another mobile station on a portion of a radio frequency (RF) band. Ex. A at 2:54-57.

12. The inventors wanted to improve wireless communications, without requiring the physical infrastructure of digital cellular telephone systems. *Id.* at 3:58-61.

13. The '095 Patent claims are not directed to a method of organizing human activity or to a fundamental economic practice long prevalent in commerce. The '095 Patent describes a system that addresses a technical problem--providing wireless communications methods that allow for more than one user to communicate with another and have private conversations, *id.* at 1:32-46--with a technical solution, providing direct, wireless communications using a frequency division multiple access/time division multiple access communication protocol. *Id.* at 2:30-34.

14. The '095 Patent does not preempt the field or preclude the use of other methods of providing wireless communications. The claims are directed to mobile stations “configured to select a portion of a radio frequency (RF) band” and “transmit a first signal on a first sub-portion.” *Id.* at claim 1. The '095 Patent identifies other methods of providing wireless communications which are generally described “in the context of a non-frequency hopping application.” *Id.* at 12:10-12.

15. The '095 Patent does not take a well-known or established business method or process and apply it to a general-purpose computer. Instead, the specific system and processes described in the '095 Patent have no direct corollary to a well-known business process. The '095 Patent describes a system that addresses a technical problem that arises

in the context of providing wireless communications. *See id.* at 1:32-46. The invention has improved wireless communications by providing direct, wireless communications using a frequency division multiple access/time division multiple access communication protocol. *Id.* at 2:30-34.

COUNT I: INFRINGEMENT OF THE '095 PATENT

16. Encoditech incorporates the above paragraphs herein by reference.

17. **Direct Infringement.** Citizen has been and continues to directly infringe at least claim 7 of the '095 Patent in this District and elsewhere in the United States, by providing an app that satisfies the preamble of claim 7” “[a] wireless communications system.” For example, Citizen’s Proximity provides notifications for social media, email and events. Upon information and belief, Citizen has performed each step of claim 7 at least by internal testing of Citizen’s app. *See* <https://www.citizenwatch.com/us/en/mens-proximity/BZ1000-54E.html>; webpage attached hereto as Exhibit C; Figure 1.



Figure 1. Citizen’s Proximity provides notifications for social media, email and events.

See

http://embed.widencdn.net/download/citizenwatch/wxldoq2nw7/Proximity_Setting_Guide.pdf?u=41zuoe; webpage attached hereto as Exhibit D; Figures 2, 3.



Figure 2. Citizen's Proximity connects to smartphones and identifies upcoming calls.




Figure 3. Citizen's Proximity connects via Bluetooth.

18. Citizen's Proximity satisfies claim element 7(a): "a first mobile station."

For example, Citizen's Proximity works on a mobile device, such as an iPhone. *See* Exs. C-D; Figs 1-3.

19. Citizen's Proximity has a second mobile station. For example, Citizen's Proximity works on mobile devices, such as iPhones, that communicate with each other via Bluetooth V4.0 low energy. *See* Exs. C-D; Figs. 1-3

20. Citizen's Proximity satisfies claim element 7(b): "wherein the first mobile station is configured to select a first portion of a radio frequency (RF) band to carry communications between the first mobile station and the second mobile station, transmit a first request signal on a first sub-portion of the first portion of the RF band directly to

the second mobile station to request communications between the first mobile station and the second mobile station, establish in response to receiving a first acknowledge signal from the second mobile station, a direct communication link between first the mobile station and the second mobile station on the first portion of RF band.” For example, Citizen’s Proximity selects a 2.4 GHz-2.4385 GHz range of the ISM band to carry communications between the mobile devices via Bluetooth V4.0 low energy. *See* Exs. C-D; Figs. 1-2.

21. Citizen’s Proximity satisfies claim element 7(c): “receive from the second mobile station a public encryption key generated using a private encryption key associated with the second mobile station.” For example, Citizen’s Proximity receives a public encryption key from the second mobile device that was generated using a private encryption key. *See* Exs. C-D; Figs. 1-3.

22. Citizen’s Proximity satisfies claim element 7(d): “generate a message containing a common encryption key (Ckey).” For example, Citizen’s Proximity generates a message containing a common encryption key, such as a DH key, that will be extracted by the second mobile station. *See* Exs C-D; Figs. 1-3.

23. Citizen’s Proximity satisfies claim element 7(e): “encrypt the message using the public encryption key to generate an encrypted message, provide the encrypted message to the second mobile station so that the second mobile station may decrypt the encrypted message using the private encryption key and extract the Ckey, wherein the message exchanged between the first and the second mobile stations are encrypted using the Ckey.” For example, Citizen’s Proximity has a public-private key system where a receiver receives an encrypted message and decrypts that message using a private key.

See Exs. C-D; Figs. 1-3.

24. Citizen's Proximity satisfies claim element 7(f): "wherein the second mobile station is configured to transmit, in response to receiving the first request signal from the first mobile station, the first acknowledge signal on a second sub-portion of the first portion of the RF band directly to the first mobile station to acknowledge the first request signal." For example, Proximity transmits a request signal on a double-sided spectrum with center frequency 2.402 GHz of the range of the ISM band directly to the mobile devices and establishes a direct communication link between the two mobile devices upon receiving a first acknowledgment signal from the second mobile station.

See Exs. C-D; Figs. 1-3.

25. Encoditech is entitled to recover damages adequate to compensate it for such infringement in an amount no less than a reasonable royalty under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

26. Encoditech will continue to be injured, and thereby caused irreparable harm, unless and until this Court enters an injunction prohibiting further infringement.

JURY DEMAND

27. Under Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Encoditech respectfully requests a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Encoditech asks this Court to enter judgment against Citizen Watch Company of America, Inc., granting the following relief:

A. A declaration that Citizen has infringed the Patent-in-Suit;

- B. An award of damages to compensate Encoditech for DexCom's direct infringement of the Patent-in-Suit, including an accounting of all damages presented at trial;
- C. An order that Citizen and its officers, directors, agents, servants, employees, successors, assigns, and all persons in active concert or participation with them, be permanently enjoined from infringing the Patent-in-Suit under 35 U.S.C. § 283;
- D. A declaration that this case is exceptional, and an award to Encoditech of reasonable attorneys' fees, expenses and costs under 35 U.S.C. § 285;
- E. An award of prejudgment and post-judgment interest; and
- F. Such other and relief as this Court or jury may deem proper and just.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Jay Johnson

JAY JOHNSON

State Bar No. 24067322

KIZZIA JOHNSON, PLLC

1910 Pacific Ave., Suite 13000

Dallas, Texas 75201

(214) 451-0164

Fax: (214) 451-0165

jay@kpllc.com

bkizzia@kpllc.com

Isaac Rabicoff

(Pro Hac Vice Admission Pending)

Kenneth Matuszewski

(Pro Hac Vice Admission Pending)

RABICOFF LAW LLC

73 W Monroe St

Chicago, IL 60603

773-669-4590

isaac@rabilaw.com

kenneth@rabilaw.com

EXHIBIT A

EXHIBIT B